



## NEWS RELEASE

For Immediate Release

Media Contact: Joe DiLaura 317-232-3396

Robert Shula 317-234-0263

### State, County and MSA Unemployment Report:

## August Revised and September Preliminary State Unemployment Figures Released

INDIANAPOLIS (Nov. 10, 2005) – The Indiana Department of Workforce Development (IDWD) released revised August and preliminary September unemployment figures for the state, all 92 counties and metropolitan statistical areas.

“The latest figures show a slight decrease in the unemployment rate for Indiana. However, as we continue to trail the nation, there is much more work to be done in the months ahead,” state IDWD Commissioner Ron Stiver. “The state continues to face a host of training and re-training issues as our economy continues the transition from its traditional base. The Daniels’ Administration remains committed to a workforce and economic development strategy that will grow Hoosier jobs and income,” he said.

### **Seasonally Adjusted:**

Indiana’s preliminary seasonally adjusted unemployment rate for September was 5.3%, one-tenth of a percentage point lower than last month. The rate for the U.S. for September 2005 was 5.1%.

For the month of September, Indiana’s Midwest neighbors reported the following unemployment rates: Kentucky, 5.7%; Illinois, 5.7%; Ohio, 5.8%; Michigan, 6.4%.

For the month of September, the state’s estimated seasonally adjusted labor force total was 3,224,300. The number of Hoosier workers employed during September was 3,054,500 and the number of unemployed Hoosiers during September was 169,800. August revised data marked Indiana’s labor total at 3,196,400 with 3,025,200 employed and 171,200 unemployed.

### **Non-Seasonally Adjusted:**

Using the same data and reporting non-seasonally adjusted employment trends, Indiana’s September preliminary non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was 4.9%. The rate is up two-tenths of a percentage point from a year ago.

The U.S. non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was 4.8% for the month of September. Of Indiana’s neighboring states reporting non-seasonally adjusted unemployment rates, Kentucky’s rate was 5.3 and Illinois’ rate was 5.4%. Ohio reported an unemployment rate of 5.6% while Michigan’s rate was reported to be 5.9%.

The Indiana Department of Workforce Development is charged with continually improving the Hoosier workforce by

-more-

assisting companies to create new jobs and improve worker skills. The agency offers a variety of training and educational grants, partners with Indiana's 27 WorkOne Centers, administers the unemployment insurance system, provides labor market information, assists employers with preparing workers for layoffs and closures and operates a statewide job placement service.

For more information on Indiana's unemployment rate or the Innovating Indiana Strategic Skills Initiative, contact DWD at 1-888-WorkOne, or visit the website at [www.workforce.IN.gov](http://www.workforce.IN.gov).

Technical Notes:

- In calculating unemployment rates, two different types of numbers are provided. The first, known as the *non-seasonally adjusted rate*, estimates employment and unemployment without taking into account the effects of seasonal trends. In calculating the *seasonally adjusted rate*, or employment that follows more or less a regular pattern each year, holiday, summer and other types of seasonal employment is factored out of the estimate. These adjustments make it easier to observe the cyclical and other non-seasonal movements.
- As previously announced BLS had found errors in some of the employment inputs used in the sub state estimates published earlier this year and decided to conduct a thorough review of all inputs. That review is now complete. The corrections to the data for January, February and March 2005 had small to moderate impacts on employment and labor force levels and little impact on unemployment rates in most areas. The labor force, unemployment and unemployment rate data for 2004 presented in this release also reflects the corrections to the inputs.
- Compiled in conjunction with the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the State's unemployment rate is derived from a national survey of about 60,000 households. Of that number approximately 1,300 Indiana households are polled each month.
- The Household survey that calculates the unemployment rate is conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and is conducted during the week of the 12<sup>th</sup> of the month.
- Labor force is calculated as the number of people 16 years of age and older who were able and available to work.
- For most accurate analysis, please use revised data sets and not preliminary estimates.

### INDIANA VS. U.S. UNEMPLOYMENT RATES

#### Seasonally Adjusted

<b>Indiana</b>	<i>September, 2005</i>	<i>August, 2005</i>	<i>July, 2005</i>	<i>September, 2004</i>
Labor Force	3,224,300	3,196,400	3,188,000	3,170,600
Employed	3,054,500	3,025,200	3,016,100	3,006,400
Unemployed	169,800	171,200	171,900	164,200
Rate	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.2
<b>U.S.</b>				
Rate	5.1	4.9	5.0	5.4

#### Non-Seasonally Adjusted

<b>Indiana</b>	<i>September, 2005</i>	<i>August, 2005</i>	<i>September, 2004</i>
Labor Force	3,222,700	3,217,500	3,161,600
Employed	3,065,200	3,049,400	3,011,600
Unemployed	157,500	168,100	150,000
Rate	4.9	5.2	4.7
<b>U.S.</b>			
Rate	4.8	4.9	5.1

# LABOR FORCE ESTIMATES

CPS BENCHMARK: 2004

§ § §

EMPLOYMENT BENCHMARK: 2004

THESE ESTIMATES ARE PREPARED IN COOPERATION WITH THE U. S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS (BLS) AND HAVE BEEN ADJUSTED TO THE CURRENT POPULATION SURVEY (CPS) FOR USE IN ALLOCATING FEDERAL FUNDS. BECAUSE OF IRREGULAR FLUCTUATIONS IN MONTH TO MONTH CHANGES, THE READER SHOULD USE CAUTION WHEN VIEWING THESE ESTIMATES AS INDICATORS OF ECONOMIC CHANGE.

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>	Seasonally Adjusted <u>RATE</u>
<b>U.S.</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	149,838,000	142,579,000	7,259,000	4.8	5.1
August 2005 (Revised)	150,469,000	143,142,000	7,327,000	4.9	4.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	147,186,000	139,641,000	7,545,000	5.1	5.4
<b>INDIANA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	3,222,700	3,065,200	157,500	4.9	5.4
August 2005 (Revised)	3,217,500	3,049,400	168,100	5.2	5.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	3,161,600	3,011,600	150,000	4.7	4.7
<b><u>METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS</u></b>					
<b>ANDERSON MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	64,100	60,230	3,870	6.0	
August 2005 (Revised)	64,310	60,000	4,310	6.7	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	63,190	59,790	3,400	5.4	
<b>BLOOMINGTON MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	97,060	92,650	4,410	4.5	
August 2005 (Revised)	91,430	86,600	4,830	5.3	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	95,430	91,720	3,710	3.9	
<b>COLUMBUS MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	37,620	35,990	1,630	4.3	
August 2005 (Revised)	37,700	36,030	1,670	4.4	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,320	34,930	1,390	3.8	
<b>ELKHART-GOSHEN MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	102,850	98,370	4,480	4.4	
August 2005 (Revised)	103,590	98,960	4,630	4.5	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	100,630	96,760	3,870	3.8	
<b>EVANSVILLE MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	184,380	175,840	8,540	4.6	
August 2005 (Revised)	184,840	175,740	9,100	4.9	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	179,530	171,770	7,760	4.3	
<b>FORT WAYNE MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	211,690	201,680	10,010	4.7	
August 2005 (Revised)	213,220	202,710	10,510	4.9	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	207,920	198,050	9,870	4.7	
<b>GARY</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	327,960	311,080	16,880	5.1	
August 2005 (Revised)	325,520	307,210	18,310	5.6	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	322,130	305,330	16,800	5.2	
<b>INDIANAPOLIS MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	878,060	838,500	39,560	4.5	
August 2005 (Revised)	885,810	844,210	41,600	4.7	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	862,900	824,930	37,970	4.4	
<b>KOKOMO MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	47,350	44,700	2,650	5.6	
August 2005 (Revised)	47,510	44,750	2,760	5.8	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	47,040	44,430	2,610	5.5	
<b>LAFAYETTE MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	96,310	92,260	4,050	4.2	
August 2005 (Revised)	91,840	87,600	4,240	4.6	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	93,590	89,630	3,960	4.2	
<b>MICHIGAN CITY MSA</b>					
September 2005 (Preliminary)	53,440	50,600	2,840	5.3	
August 2005 (Revised)	52,920	49,970	2,950	5.6	
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	52,900	50,130	2,770	5.2	

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>MUNCIE MSA</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	56,950	53,740	3,210	5.6
August 2005 (Revised)	54,800	51,290	3,510	6.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	56,560	53,460	3,100	5.5
<b>SOUTH BEND MSA</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	164,210	156,490	7,720	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	163,880	155,870	8,010	4.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	160,780	153,550	7,230	4.5
<b>TERRE HAUTE MSA</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	81,970	76,900	5,070	6.2
August 2005 (Revised)	81,330	75,930	5,400	6.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	80,890	75,880	5,010	6.2
<b><u>COUNTIES</u></b>				
<b>ADAMS</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,310	16,570	740	4.3
August 2005 (Revised)	17,190	16,430	760	4.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,740	16,160	580	3.5
<b>ALLEN (FORT WAYNE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	179,290	170,640	8,650	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	180,540	171,510	9,030	5.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	175,950	167,560	8,390	4.8
<b>BARTHOLOMEW (COLUMBUS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	37,620	35,990	1,630	4.3
August 2005 (Revised)	37,700	36,030	1,670	4.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,320	34,930	1,390	3.8
<b>BENTON (LAFAYETTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	4,725	4,520	205	4.4
August 2005 (Revised)	4,520	4,290	230	5.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	4,585	4,385	200	4.3
<b>BLACKFORD</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	6,790	6,370	420	6.2
August 2005 (Revised)	6,690	6,205	485	7.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,670	6,245	425	6.4
<b>BOONE (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	26,470	25,550	920	3.5
August 2005 (Revised)	26,680	25,720	960	3.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	26,010	25,130	880	3.4
<b>BROWN (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	8,240	7,885	355	4.3
August 2005 (Revised)	8,340	7,940	400	4.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,080	7,755	325	4.0
<b>CARROLL (LAFAYETTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	10,650	10,210	440	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	10,220	9,690	530	5.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,380	9,910	470	4.5
<b>CASS</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	19,790	18,800	990	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	19,420	18,390	1,030	5.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	19,650	18,520	1,130	5.8
<b>CLARK (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	53,320	50,720	2,600	4.9
August 2005 (Revised)	54,140	51,370	2,770	5.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	51,970	49,540	2,430	4.7
<b>CLAY (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	13,250	12,390	860	6.5
August 2005 (Revised)	13,070	12,240	830	6.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	12,960	12,230	730	5.6
<b>CLINTON</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,100	16,250	850	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	16,960	16,040	920	5.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,730	15,890	840	5.0

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>CRAWFORD</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	5,460	5,110	350	6.4
August 2005 (Revised)	5,480	5,085	395	7.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	5,375	5,065	310	5.8
<b>DAVIESS</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,870	14,300	570	3.8
August 2005 (Revised)	14,680	14,110	570	3.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	14,590	14,080	510	3.5
<b>DEARBORN (CINCINNATI MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	26,040	24,640	1,400	5.4
August 2005 (Revised)	26,460	24,770	1,690	6.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	25,410	24,200	1,210	4.8
<b>DECATUR</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	12,850	12,280	570	4.4
August 2005 (Revised)	12,870	12,270	600	4.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	12,670	12,060	610	4.8
<b>DEKALB</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	22,170	20,910	1,260	5.7
August 2005 (Revised)	22,460	21,110	1,350	6.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	21,690	20,610	1,080	5.0
<b>DELAWARE (MUNCIE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	56,950	53,740	3,210	5.6
August 2005 (Revised)	54,800	51,290	3,510	6.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	56,560	53,460	3,100	5.5
<b>DUBOIS</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	22,320	21,500	820	3.7
August 2005 (Revised)	22,360	21,540	820	3.7
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	21,720	21,010	710	3.2
<b>ELKHART (ELKHART-GOSHEN)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	102,850	98,370	4,480	4.4
August 2005 (Revised)	103,590	98,960	4,630	4.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	100,630	96,760	3,870	3.8
<b>FAYETTE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	11,330	10,510	820	7.3
August 2005 (Revised)	11,130	10,230	900	8.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,200	10,360	840	7.5
<b>FLOYD (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	37,740	35,960	1,780	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	38,290	36,420	1,870	4.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,740	35,120	1,620	4.4
<b>FOUNTAIN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	8,765	8,325	440	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	8,585	8,120	465	5.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,560	8,140	420	4.9
<b>FRANKLIN (CINCINNATI MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	11,960	11,290	670	5.6
August 2005 (Revised)	12,110	11,350	760	6.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,630	11,080	550	4.7
<b>FULTON</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	10,580	10,100	480	4.5
August 2005 (Revised)	10,500	9,990	510	4.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,280	9,810	470	4.5
<b>GIBSON (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,460	16,610	850	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	17,550	16,650	900	5.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,030	16,210	820	4.8
<b>GRANT</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	32,500	30,080	2,420	7.4
August 2005 (Revised)	32,330	29,660	2,670	8.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	33,260	30,510	2,750	8.3

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>GREENE (BLOOMINGTON MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	16,800	15,830	970	5.8
August 2005 (Revised)	15,870	14,800	1,070	6.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,470	15,670	800	4.9
<b>HAMILTON (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	121,200	117,460	3,740	3.1
August 2005 (Revised)	122,130	118,260	3,870	3.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	119,050	115,560	3,490	2.9
<b>HANCOCK (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	33,360	32,060	1,300	3.9
August 2005 (Revised)	33,600	32,280	1,320	3.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	32,700	31,550	1,150	3.5
<b>HARRISON (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	19,420	18,250	1,170	6.0
August 2005 (Revised)	19,730	18,490	1,240	6.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	18,720	17,830	890	4.8
<b>HENDRICKS (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	66,630	64,190	2,440	3.7
August 2005 (Revised)	67,040	64,630	2,410	3.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	65,350	63,150	2,200	3.4
<b>HENRY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	23,720	22,370	1,350	5.7
August 2005 (Revised)	23,470	22,020	1,450	6.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	23,380	21,860	1,520	6.5
<b>HOWARD (KOKOMO MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	39,260	36,980	2,280	5.8
August 2005 (Revised)	39,370	37,030	2,340	6.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	39,010	36,760	2,250	5.8
<b>HUNTINGTON</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	20,970	19,980	990	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	20,930	19,900	1,030	4.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	20,640	19,630	1,010	4.9
<b>JACKSON</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	22,740	21,730	1,010	4.4
August 2005 (Revised)	22,930	21,890	1,040	4.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	21,880	20,970	910	4.2
<b>JASPER (GARY)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,980	14,300	680	4.5
August 2005 (Revised)	14,830	14,130	700	4.7
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	14,740	14,040	700	4.7
<b>JAY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	11,370	10,830	540	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	11,250	10,660	590	5.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,760	10,220	540	5.0
<b>JEFFERSON</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,380	16,540	840	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	17,470	16,530	940	5.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,980	16,260	720	4.2
<b>JENNINGS</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,700	13,860	840	5.7
August 2005 (Revised)	14,640	13,760	880	6.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	14,000	13,300	700	5.0
<b>JOHNSON (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	69,100	66,450	2,650	3.8
August 2005 (Revised)	69,540	66,910	2,630	3.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	68,180	65,380	2,800	4.1
<b>KNOX</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	19,120	18,240	880	4.6
August 2005 (Revised)	18,930	17,960	970	5.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	18,690	17,890	800	4.3

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>KOSCIUSKO</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	40,760	39,180	1,580	3.9
August 2005 (Revised)	40,610	38,910	1,700	4.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	40,210	38,660	1,550	3.8
<b>LAGRANGE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,640	16,890	750	4.2
August 2005 (Revised)	17,500	16,720	780	4.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	16,940	16,290	650	3.9
<b>LAKE (GARY )</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	226,200	213,620	12,580	5.6
August 2005 (Revised)	224,850	210,970	13,880	6.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	222,220	209,670	12,550	5.6
<b>LA PORTE (MICHIGAN CITY MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	53,440	50,600	2,840	5.3
August 2005 (Revised)	52,920	49,970	2,950	5.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	52,900	50,130	2,770	5.2
<b>LAWRENCE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	22,680	21,150	1,530	6.7
August 2005 (Revised)	22,460	20,700	1,760	7.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,270	20,940	1,330	6.0
<b>MADISON (ANDERSON MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	64,100	60,230	3,870	6.0
August 2005 (Revised)	64,310	60,000	4,310	6.7
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	63,190	59,790	3,400	5.4
<b>MARION (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	472,970	448,670	24,300	5.1
August 2005 (Revised)	477,870	451,720	26,150	5.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	465,160	441,400	23,760	5.1
<b>MARSHALL</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	22,920	21,860	1,060	4.6
August 2005 (Revised)	22,780	21,690	1,090	4.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	22,440	21,440	1,000	4.5
<b>MARTIN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	5,285	5,020	265	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	5,285	5,005	280	5.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	5,165	4,940	225	4.3
<b>MIAMI</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,430	16,480	950	5.5
August 2005 (Revised)	17,340	16,280	1,060	6.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,230	16,290	940	5.5
<b>MONROE (BLOOMINGTON MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	68,360	65,560	2,800	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	64,390	61,280	3,110	4.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	67,330	64,910	2,420	3.6
<b>MONTGOMERY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	20,240	19,390	850	4.2
August 2005 (Revised)	20,270	19,410	860	4.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	19,710	18,950	760	3.9
<b>MORGAN (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	37,630	35,960	1,670	4.4
August 2005 (Revised)	37,870	36,210	1,660	4.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	36,940	35,380	1,560	4.2
<b>NEWTON (GARY)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	7,195	6,820	375	5.2
August 2005 (Revised)	7,115	6,740	375	5.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,985	6,700	285	4.1
<b>NOBLE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	24,070	22,760	1,310	5.4
August 2005 (Revised)	24,170	22,720	1,450	6.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	23,560	22,350	1,210	5.1

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>OHIO (CINCINNATI MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	3,110	2,955	155	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	3,135	2,970	165	5.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	3,065	2,905	160	5.3
<b>ORANGE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	9,025	8,465	560	6.2
August 2005 (Revised)	9,040	8,430	610	6.7
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,995	8,470	525	5.8
<b>OWEN (BLOOMINGTON MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	11,900	11,260	640	5.4
August 2005 (Revised)	11,170	10,520	650	5.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,630	11,150	480	4.2
<b>PARKE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	8,250	7,810	440	5.3
August 2005 (Revised)	8,140	7,660	480	5.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,140	7,680	460	5.7
<b>PERRY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	9,945	9,415	530	5.3
August 2005 (Revised)	10,130	9,500	630	6.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	9,565	9,070	495	5.2
<b>PIKE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	6,350	6,055	295	4.6
August 2005 (Revised)	6,440	6,070	370	5.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,240	5,920	320	5.1
<b>PORTER (GARY)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	79,580	76,320	3,260	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	78,730	75,370	3,360	4.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	78,180	74,920	3,260	4.2
<b>POSEY (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,100	13,500	600	4.2
August 2005 (Revised)	14,180	13,530	650	4.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,740	13,180	560	4.1
<b>PULASKI</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	7,280	6,985	295	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	7,135	6,805	330	4.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	6,995	6,705	290	4.2
<b>PUTNAM (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	18,150	17,050	1,100	6.0
August 2005 (Revised)	18,290	17,170	1,120	6.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,500	16,780	720	4.1
<b>RANDOLPH</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	13,150	12,370	780	5.9
August 2005 (Revised)	12,860	12,010	850	6.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,090	12,340	750	5.7
<b>RIPLEY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	15,570	14,900	670	4.3
August 2005 (Revised)	15,540	14,810	730	4.7
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	15,060	14,420	640	4.2
<b>RUSH</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	9,590	9,175	415	4.3
August 2005 (Revised)	9,370	8,945	425	4.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	9,345	8,955	390	4.2
<b>SAINT JOSEPH (SOUTH BEND MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	136,270	129,710	6,560	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	135,950	129,110	6,840	5.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	133,640	127,470	6,170	4.6
<b>SCOTT</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	11,500	10,860	640	5.5
August 2005 (Revised)	11,600	10,940	660	5.7
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	11,140	10,590	550	4.9



<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>SHELBY (INDIANAPOLIS MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	24,310	23,220	1,090	4.5
August 2005 (Revised)	24,470	23,380	1,090	4.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	23,930	22,840	1,090	4.5
<b>SPENCER</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	11,030	10,500	530	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	11,180	10,570	610	5.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,690	10,210	480	4.5
<b>STARKE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	10,840	10,170	670	6.2
August 2005 (Revised)	10,640	9,910	730	6.9
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	10,580	9,930	650	6.1
<b>STEUBEN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,480	16,540	940	5.4
August 2005 (Revised)	17,540	16,580	960	5.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,100	16,250	850	5.0
<b>SULLIVAN (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	9,450	8,765	685	7.2
August 2005 (Revised)	9,350	8,660	690	7.4
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	9,235	8,650	585	6.3
<b>SWITZERLAND</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	5,870	5,625	245	4.2
August 2005 (Revised)	5,880	5,610	270	4.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	5,775	5,530	245	4.2
<b>TIPPECANOE (LAFAYETTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	80,940	77,540	3,400	4.2
August 2005 (Revised)	77,100	73,620	3,480	4.5
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	78,620	75,320	3,300	4.2
<b>TIPTON (KOKOMO MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	8,085	7,715	370	4.6
August 2005 (Revised)	8,140	7,725	415	5.1
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,030	7,670	360	4.5
<b>UNION</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	3,925	3,730	195	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	3,840	3,645	195	5.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	3,785	3,615	170	4.5
<b>VANDERBURGH (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	92,390	87,970	4,420	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	93,010	88,110	4,900	5.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	89,940	85,840	4,100	4.6
<b>VERMILLION (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	8,150	7,645	505	6.2
August 2005 (Revised)	8,100	7,550	550	6.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	8,120	7,540	580	7.1
<b>VIGO (TERRE HAUTE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	51,120	48,090	3,030	5.9
August 2005 (Revised)	50,820	47,490	3,330	6.6
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	50,570	47,450	3,120	6.2
<b>WABASH</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,810	16,690	1,120	6.3
August 2005 (Revised)	17,960	16,740	1,220	6.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,460	16,490	970	5.6
<b>WARREN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	4,745	4,570	175	3.7
August 2005 (Revised)	4,575	4,380	195	4.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	4,645	4,470	175	3.8
<b>WARRICK (EVANSVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	30,240	28,980	1,260	4.2
August 2005 (Revised)	30,310	29,030	1,280	4.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	29,410	28,280	1,130	3.8

<u>AREA</u>	<u>LABOR FORCE</u>	<u>EMPLOYED</u>	<u>UNEMPLOYED</u>	<u>RATE</u>
<b>WASHINGTON (LOUISVILLE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,090	13,330	760	5.4
August 2005 (Revised)	14,270	13,510	760	5.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	13,770	13,020	750	5.4
<b>WAYNE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	33,370	31,270	2,100	6.3
August 2005 (Revised)	33,160	30,850	2,310	7.0
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	33,190	31,170	2,020	6.1
<b>WELLS (FORT WAYNE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,900	14,310	590	3.9
August 2005 (Revised)	15,020	14,390	630	4.2
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	14,740	14,060	680	4.6
<b>WHITE</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	12,660	12,030	630	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	12,660	11,990	670	5.3
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	12,330	11,660	670	5.5
<b>WHITLEY (FORT WAYNE MSA)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,500	16,730	770	4.4
August 2005 (Revised)	17,670	16,820	850	4.8
September 2004 (Benchmarked)	17,230	16,430	800	4.7
<b><u>CITIES (Population over 25,000)</u></b>				
<b>ANDERSON CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	27,960	26,090	1,870	6.7
August 2005 (Revised)	28,180	25,990	2,190	7.8
<b>BLOOMINGTON CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	38,070	36,510	1,560	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	35,710	34,130	1,580	4.4
<b>CARMEL CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	23,100	22,400	700	3.0
August 2005 (Revised)	23,230	22,560	670	2.9
<b>COLUMBUS CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	19,750	18,820	930	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	19,810	18,840	970	4.9
<b>EAST CHICAGO CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	10,940	9,990	950	8.7
August 2005 (Revised)	11,020	9,860	1,160	10.5
<b>ELKHART CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	26,940	25,360	1,580	5.8
August 2005 (Revised)	27,190	25,520	1,670	6.2
<b>EVANSVILLE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	61,530	58,270	3,260	5.3
August 2005 (Revised)	62,060	58,370	3,690	5.9
<b>FISHERS TOWN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	29,560	28,690	870	2.9
August 2005 (Revised)	29,780	28,890	890	3.0
<b>FORT WAYNE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	112,880	107,150	5,730	5.1
August 2005 (Revised)	113,710	107,700	6,010	5.3
<b>GARY CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	37,740	34,600	3,140	8.3
August 2005 (Revised)	37,870	34,170	3,700	9.8
<b>GOSHEN CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	15,860	15,170	690	4.3
August 2005 (Revised)	15,980	15,260	720	4.5
<b>GREENWOOD CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	22,890	21,980	910	4.0
August 2005 (Revised)	23,020	22,130	890	3.9
<b>HAMMOND CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	35,490	33,240	2,250	6.3
August 2005 (Revised)	35,240	32,820	2,420	6.9

<b>HOBART CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	13,630	12,940	690	5.0
August 2005 (Revised)	13,500	12,780	720	5.3
<b>INDIANAPOLIS CITY (CONS)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	433,800	411,460	22,340	5.1
August 2005 (Revised)	438,300	414,260	24,040	5.5
<b>INDIANAPOLIS CITY (CORE)</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	428,470	406,540	21,930	5.1
August 2005 (Revised)	432,910	409,320	23,590	5.4
<b>JEFFERSONVILLE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,830	14,140	690	4.6
August 2005 (Revised)	15,130	14,330	800	5.3
<b>KOKOMO CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	21,180	19,790	1,390	6.6
August 2005 (Revised)	21,290	19,820	1,470	6.9
<b>LAFAYETTE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	33,710	32,130	1,580	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	32,210	30,500	1,710	5.3
<b>LAWRENCE TOWN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	23,230	22,290	940	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	23,470	22,430	1,040	4.4
<b>MARION CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	12,800	11,670	1,130	8.8
August 2005 (Revised)	12,740	11,500	1,240	9.7
<b>MERRILLVILLE TOWN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	16,210	15,360	850	5.2
August 2005 (Revised)	16,110	15,170	940	5.8
<b>MICHIGAN CITY CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,860	13,900	960	6.5
August 2005 (Revised)	14,720	13,730	990	6.7
<b>MISHAWAKA CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	26,790	25,490	1,300	4.8
August 2005 (Revised)	26,700	25,370	1,330	5.0
<b>MUNCIE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	31,310	29,400	1,910	6.1
August 2005 (Revised)	30,130	28,050	2,080	6.9
<b>NEW ALBANY CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	18,690	17,700	990	5.3
August 2005 (Revised)	19,010	17,940	1,070	5.6
<b>NOBLESVILLE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	18,500	17,800	700	3.8
August 2005 (Revised)	18,680	17,920	760	4.1
<b>PORTAGE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,520	16,700	820	4.7
August 2005 (Revised)	17,380	16,490	890	5.1
<b>RICHMOND CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	17,340	16,170	1,170	6.7
August 2005 (Revised)	17,270	15,950	1,320	7.6
<b>SCHERERVILLE TOWN</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,660	14,130	530	3.6
August 2005 (Revised)	14,540	13,950	590	4.1
<b>SOUTH BEND CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	49,570	46,690	2,880	5.8
August 2005 (Revised)	49,570	46,470	3,100	6.3
<b>TERRE HAUTE CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	26,990	25,250	1,740	6.4
August 2005 (Revised)	26,890	24,940	1,950	7.2
<b>VALPARAISO CITY</b>				
September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,890	14,280	610	4.1
August 2005 (Revised)	14,690	14,100	590	4.0

September 2005 (Preliminary)	14,650	14,150	500	3.4
August 2005 (Revised)	13,900	13,430	470	3.4

NOTES: 1) UNEMPLOYMENT RATES ARE COMPUTED FROM UNROUNDED DATA.  
2) COUNTY DATA MAY NOT ADD TO TOTALS DUE TO ROUNDING.  
3) PRELIMINARY DATA WILL BE REVISED THE FOLLOWING MONTH.  
4) CITY DATA IS USING A NEW METHODOLOGY, THEREFORE IT IS NOT COMPARABLE WITH 2004  
5) INDIANAPOLIS CITY DATA IS NOW LISTED FOR THE INCORPORATED CITY (CORE) AND THE CONSOLIDATED CITY (CONS)

\*Starting with January 2005 data, new MSA definitions are used. These will be revised back to 2000

\*Also starting with January 2005, new methodology is being used. It will be used back to 2000

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
STRATEGIC RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT/RESEARCH & ANALYSIS  
LOCAL AREA UNEMPLOYMENT STATISTICS (LAUS)  
10 NORTH SENATE AVENUE PH: (317) 232-1748  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204

To make changes to mailing list information  
(add/drop/change contact)  
Phone: 1-800-262-6949 or  
email: cparker@dwd.in.gov



# RANKING OF COUNTY DATA

FOR SEPTEMBER 2005 (PRELIMINARY)

PREPARED NOVEMBER 8, 2005

RANK	AREA	RATE	RANK	AREA	RATE
1	GRANT	7.4	47	GIBSON	4.8
2	FAYETTE	7.3	48	JEFFERSON	4.8
3	SULLIVAN	7.2	49	SAINT JOSEPH	4.8
4	LAWRENCE	6.7	50	SPENCER	4.8
5	CLAY	6.5	51	VANDEBURGH	4.8
6	CRAWFORD	6.4	52	FLOYD	4.7
7	WABASH	6.3	53	HUNTINGTON	4.7
8	WAYNE	6.3	54	JAY	4.7
9	BLACKFORD	6.2	55	KNOX	4.6
10	ORANGE	6.2	56	MARSHALL	4.6
11	STARKE	6.2	57	PIKE	4.6
12	VERMILLION	6.2	58	TIPTON	4.6
13	HARRISON	6.0	59	FULTON	4.5
14	MADISON	6.0	60	JASPER	4.5
15	PUTNAM	6.0	61	SHELBY	4.5
16	RANDOLPH	5.9	62	BENTON	4.4
17	VIGO	5.9	63	DECATUR	4.4
18	GREENE	5.8	64	ELKHART	4.4
19	HOWARD	5.8	65	JACKSON	4.4
20	DEKALB	5.7	66	MORGAN	4.4
21	HENRY	5.7	67	WHITLEY	4.4
22	JENNINGS	5.7	68	ADAMS	4.3
23	DELAWARE	5.6	69	BARTHOLOMEW	4.3
24	FRANKLIN	5.6	70	BROWN	4.3
25	LAKE	5.6	71	RIPLEY	4.3
26	MIAMI	5.5	72	RUSH	4.3
27	SCOTT	5.5	73	LAGRANGE	4.2
28	DEARBORN	5.4	74	MONTGOMERY	4.2
29	NOBLE	5.4	75	POSEY	4.2
30	OWEN	5.4	76	SWITZERLAND	4.2
31	STEBEN	5.4	77	TIPPECANOE	4.2
32	WASHINGTON	5.4	78	WARRICK	4.2
33	LAPORTE	5.3	79	CARROLL	4.1
34	PARKE	5.3	80	MONROE	4.1
35	PERRY	5.3	81	PORTER	4.1
36	NEWTON	5.2	82	PULASKI	4.1
37	MARION	5.1	83	HANCOCK	3.9
38	CASS	5.0	84	KOSCIUSKO	3.9
39	CLINTON	5.0	85	WELLS	3.9
40	FOUNTAIN	5.0	86	DAVISS	3.8
41	MARTIN	5.0	87	JOHNSON	3.8
42	OHIO	5.0	88	DUBOIS	3.7
43	UNION	5.0	89	HENDRICKS	3.7
44	WHITE	5.0	90	WARREN	3.7
45	CLARK	4.9	91	BOONE	3.5
46	ALLEN	4.8	92	HAMILTON	3.1
				INDIANA	4.9



INDIANA  
WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT

# R A N K I N G   O F   C O U N T Y   D A T A

FOR AUGUST 2005 (REVISED)

PREPARED NOVEMBER 8, 2005

RANK	AREA	RATE	RANK	AREA	RATE
1	GRANT	8.3	47	CARROLL	5.2
2	FAYETTE	8.1	48	GIBSON	5.2
3	LAWRENCE	7.8	49	JAY	5.2
4	SULLIVAN	7.4	50	OHIO	5.2
5	BLACKFORD	7.3	51	BENTON	5.1
6	CRAWFORD	7.2	52	CLARK	5.1
7	WAYNE	7.0	53	KNOX	5.1
8	STARKE	6.9	54	TIPTON	5.1
9	GREENE	6.8	55	ALLEN	5.0
10	VERMILLION	6.8	56	SAINT JOSEPH	5.0
11	WABASH	6.8	57	UNION	5.0
12	MADISON	6.7	58	FLOYD	4.9
13	ORANGE	6.7	59	HUNTINGTON	4.9
14	RANDOLPH	6.6	60	BROWN	4.8
15	VIGO	6.6	61	FULTON	4.8
16	DEARBORN	6.4	62	MARSHALL	4.8
17	DELAWARE	6.4	63	MONROE	4.8
18	CLAY	6.3	64	WHITLEY	4.8
19	FRANKLIN	6.3	65	JASPER	4.7
20	HARRISON	6.3	66	RIPLEY	4.7
21	HENRY	6.2	67	DECATUR	4.6
22	LAKE	6.2	68	POSEY	4.6
23	PERRY	6.2	69	PULASKI	4.6
24	MIAMI	6.1	70	SWITZERLAND	4.6
25	PUTNAM	6.1	71	ELKHART	4.5
26	DEKALB	6.0	72	JACKSON	4.5
27	HOWARD	6.0	73	LAGRANGE	4.5
28	JENNINGS	6.0	74	RUSH	4.5
29	NOBLE	6.0	75	SHELBY	4.5
30	PARKE	5.9	76	TIPPECANOE	4.5
31	OWEN	5.8	77	ADAMS	4.4
32	PIKE	5.8	78	BARTHOLOMEW	4.4
33	SCOTT	5.7	79	MORGAN	4.4
34	LAPORTE	5.6	80	MONTGOMERY	4.3
35	MARION	5.5	81	PORTER	4.3
36	STEUBEN	5.5	82	KOSCIUSKO	4.2
37	CLINTON	5.4	83	WARREN	4.2
38	FOUNTAIN	5.4	84	WARRICK	4.2
39	JEFFERSON	5.4	85	WELLS	4.2
40	SPENCER	5.4	86	DAVISS	3.9
41	CASS	5.3	87	HANCOCK	3.9
42	MARTIN	5.3	88	JOHNSON	3.8
43	NEWTON	5.3	89	DUBOIS	3.7
44	VANDERBURGH	5.3	90	BOONE	3.6
45	WASHINGTON	5.3	91	HENDRICKS	3.6
46	WHITE	5.3	92	HAMILTON	3.2
				INDIANA	5.2



INDIANA  
**WORKFORCE**  
DEVELOPMENT

# Unemployment Rate

September 2005

